Algebra Tiebreaker Solutions

1. Find all real numbers x such that the expression

$$\log_2|1 + \log_2|2 + \log_2|x|||$$

does not have a defined value.

Proposed by Patrick Lin

Solution. Note that $\log |x|$ is undefined if and only if x=0. If the inside logarithm is undefined, then x=0. If the middle logarithm is undefined, then $\log_2 |x|=-2 \implies x=\pm \frac{1}{4}$. If the outside logarithm is undefined, then it follows that $2+\log_2 |x|=\pm \frac{1}{2} \implies x=\pm 2^{-5/2}, \pm 2^{-3/2}$. These are all seven solutions, and so the answer is $\left\{0,\pm \frac{1}{4},\pm 2^{-3/2},\pm 2^{-5/2}\right\}$.

2. Let x be a real number between 0 and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ such that

$$\frac{\sin^4(x)}{42} + \frac{\cos^4(x)}{75} = \frac{1}{117}.$$

Find tan(x).

Proposed by Varun Kambhampati

Solution. Note that by Cauchy-Schwarz, we have

$$\frac{\sin^4(x)}{42} + \frac{\cos^4(x)}{75} \ge \frac{(\sin^2(x) + \cos^2(x))^2}{42 + 75} = \frac{1}{117}.$$

Thus in fact we have equality, which holds when

$$\frac{\sin^2(x)}{42} = \frac{\cos^2(x)}{75} \implies \tan^2(x) = \frac{14}{25}.$$

Hence $\tan(x) = \left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{14}}{5} \right\rfloor$.

3. The parabola \mathcal{P} given by equation $y=x^2$ is rotated some acute angle θ clockwise about the origin such that it hits both the x and y axes at two distinct points. Suppose the length of the segment \mathcal{P} cuts the x-axis is 1. What is the length of the segment \mathcal{P} cuts the y-axis?

Proposed by David Altizio

Solution. Instead of rotating the parabola, consider rotating the axes. That is, suppose we have two perpendicular lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 intersecting at the origin such that \mathcal{P} cuts off a segment from ℓ_1 of length 1; it suffices to find the length of the segment \mathcal{P} cuts from ℓ_2 .

Suppose ℓ_1 intersects \mathcal{P} at a point (x_0, x_0^2) . Then the Pythagorean Theorem dictates that $x_0^2 + x_0^4 = 1$. Furthermore, note that the slope of ℓ_1 is $\frac{x_0^2}{x_0} = x_0$. As a result, the slope of ℓ_2 must be $-\frac{1}{x_0}$. Hence the equation of line ℓ_2 is $y = -\frac{1}{x_0}t$. It is easy to see from here that this intersects \mathcal{P} for the second time at the point $(-\frac{1}{x_0}, \frac{1}{x_2^2})$. Thus, letting L be the length of the segment from ℓ_2 , we have

$$L^2 = \frac{1}{x_0^2} + \frac{1}{x_0^4} = \frac{x_0^2 + 1}{x_0^4} = \frac{1}{x_0^6}.$$

It suffices to find x_0^6 and then take the positive square root.

Let $t = x_0^2$, so that $t + t^2 = 1$. Then

$$t^3 = t(t^2) = t(1-t) = t - t^2 = t - (1-t) = 2t - 1.$$

But solving for t gives $t = \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, so

$$2t - 1 = 2\left(\frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\right) - 1 = \sqrt{5} - 2.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{x_0^6} = \frac{1}{t^3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5} - 2} = \sqrt{5} + 2$$

and so the requested answer is $\sqrt{\sqrt{5}+2}$. For reference, this is approximately equal to 2.06.

Combinatorics Tiebreaker Solutions

1. Jesse has ten squares, which are labeled 1, 2, ..., 10. In how many ways can he color each square either red, green, yellow, or blue such that for all $1 \le i < j \le 10$, if i divides j, then the i-th and j-th squares have different colors?

Proposed by Patrick Lin

Solution. Observe that $\{1, 2, 4, 8\}$ must all be colored differently, which give 4! = 24 combinations. If 2 and 3 are colored the same, there are two choices for 6, and otherwise there is 1, for a total of 2 + 1 + 1 = 4 choices; similarly, there are 4 choices for coloring 5 and 10. Independently, there are 2 choices for 9 and 3 choices for 7, which give a total of $24 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = \boxed{2304}$ choices.

2. Kevin likes drawing. He takes a large piece of paper and draws on it every rectangle with positive integer side lengths and perimeter at most 2017, with no two rectangles overlapping. Compute the total area of the paper that is covered by a rectangle.

Proposed by Patrick Lin

Solution. If the rectangle has side lengths a and b, then the perimeter condition gives us $a+b \le 1008$, and we are given $a, b \ge 1$. Note that for a specific a+b=k, we have that the sum of all rectangles satisfying that is

$$\sum_{a=1}^{k-1} a(k-a) = \binom{k+1}{3},$$

since we can think of choosing three objects out of (k+1) by choosing the middle object at some index $1 \le i \le k-1$, which gives i choices for the first object and (k-i) for the third. Then we have

$$\sum_{a+b \le 1008} ab = \sum_{k=2}^{1008} \sum_{a+b=k} ab = \sum_{k=2}^{1008} \binom{k+1}{3} = \boxed{\binom{1010}{4}}.$$

3. In a certain game, the set $\{1, 2, ..., 10\}$ is partitioned into equally-sized sets A and B. In each of five consecutive rounds, Alice and Bob simultaneously choose an element from A or B, respectively, that they have not yet chosen; whoever chooses the larger number receives a point, and whoever obtains three points wins the game. Determine the probability that Alice is guaranteed to win immediately after the set is initially partitioned.

Proposed by Patrick Lin

Solution. First, we prove that Alice is guaranteed to win if and only if one of the following are met:

- (a) $\{8, 9, 10\} \subset A$,
- (b) A contains four of $\{6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$,
- (c) $A \subset \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}.$

In the forwards direction, it is obvious that any one of these three conditions immediately implies that Alice wins, since it is impossible for Bob to win three points. In the backwards direction, if none of these conditions are met then B has at least one element in $\{8, 9, 10\}$, two elements in $\{6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$, and three elements in $\{4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$, so it is possible for Bob to get three points.

Now we use inclusion-exclusion to find the number of sets A that satisfy one of these three conditions:

$$|a| + |b| + |c| - |a \cap b| - |a \cap c| - |b \cap c| + |a \cap b \cap c|$$

$$= {3 \choose 3} {7 \choose 2} + {5 \choose 4} {5 \choose 1} + {7 \choose 5} - {3 \choose 3} {2 \choose 1} {5 \choose 1} - {3 \choose 3} {4 \choose 2} - {5 \choose 4} {2 \choose 1} + {3 \choose 3} {2 \choose 1} {2 \choose 1}$$

$$= 45$$

The probability is hence $\frac{45}{\binom{10}{5}} = \boxed{\frac{5}{28}}$.

Computer Science Tiebreaker Solutions

1. Cody has an unfair coin that flips heads with probability either $\frac{1}{3}$ or $\frac{2}{3}$, but he doesn't know which one it is. Using this coin, what is the fewest number of independent flips needed to simulate a coin that he knows will flip heads with probability $\frac{1}{3}$?

Proposed by Patrick Lin

Solution. We claim the answer is $\boxed{3}$. Clearly we cannot accomplish this with 1 flip, and with two flips we have outcomes HH, TT, HT, and TH. We can't distinguish the probabilities of HH and TT, however, and know only that the probability of the two flips being the same is $\frac{5}{9}$, and that the probability of them being different is $\frac{4}{9}$. No combination of these gives $\frac{1}{3}$ or $\frac{2}{3}$, so we cannot simulate a coin that flips heads with probability $\frac{1}{3}$. Hence 2 flips does not suffice.

Now notice that the probability of getting three identical flips is $\frac{1}{27} + \frac{8}{27} = \frac{1}{3}$, and hence $\boxed{3}$ flips is sufficient, as desired

2. Define

$$f(h,t) = \begin{cases} 8h & h = t\\ (h-t)^2 & h \neq t. \end{cases}$$

Cody plays a game with a fair coin, where he begins by flipping it once. At each turn in the game, if he has flipped h heads and t tails and h + t < 6, he can choose either to stop and receive f(h, t) dollars or he can flip the coin again; if h + t = 6 then the game ends and he receives f(h, t) dollars. If Cody plays to maximize expectancy, how much money, in dollars, can he expect to win from this game?

Proposed by Patrick Lin

Solution. Let E_{ht} be the expected amount of money Cody can get after flipping h heads and t tails. Clearly, for any h + t = 6, we have $E_{ht} = f(h, t)$, and for h + t < 6 we have

$$E_{ht} = \max(f(h, t), \frac{1}{2}(f(h+1, t) + f(h, t+1))).$$

Computing E_{ht} for $h+t=5,4,\ldots,1$ gives $E_{01}=E_{10}=\frac{57}{4}$, and hence $E_{00}=\boxed{\frac{57}{4}}$

3. Let n=2017 and x_1, \ldots, x_n be boolean variables. An 7-CNF clause is an expression of the form $\phi_1(x_{i_1})+\cdots+\phi_7(x_{i_7})$, where ϕ_1, \ldots, ϕ_7 are each either the function f(x)=x or f(x)=1-x, and $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_7 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. For example, $x_1+(1-x_1)+(1-x_3)+x_2+x_4+(1-x_3)+x_{12}$ is a 7-CNF clause. What's the smallest number k for which there exist 7-CNF clauses f_1, \ldots, f_k such that

$$f(x_1,...,x_n) := f_1(x_1,...,x_n) \cdots f_k(x_1,...,x_n)$$

is 0 for all values of $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$?

Proposed by Cody Johnson

Solution. The answer is 128. If k = 128, then denoting by ϕ_0 the map $x \mapsto x$ and ϕ_1 the map $x \mapsto 1 - x$, consider

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n) := \prod_{(y_1,\ldots,y_7)\in\{0,1\}^7} (\phi_{y_1}(x_1) + \cdots + \phi_{y_7}(x_7))$$

For each i, either $\phi_{y_i}(x_i) = 0$ or $\phi_{1-y_i}(x_i) = 0$, so some term in $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ makes this product 0. On the other hand, if k < 128, then a random assignment of x_1, \dots, x_n satisfies an expected $k \cdot (1 - (1/2)^m) > k - 1$ of the clauses by linearity of expectation. Therefore, some assignment satisfies k of the clauses.

Geometry Tiebreaker Solutions

1. Let ABCD be an isosceles trapezoid with $AD \parallel BC$. Points P and Q are placed on segments \overline{CD} and \overline{DA} respectively such that $AP \perp CD$ and $BQ \perp DA$, and point X is the intersection of these two altitudes. Suppose that BX = 3 and XQ = 1. Compute the largest possible area of ABCD.

Proposed by David Altizio

Solution. Note that $\angle PAD = 90^{\circ} - \angle PDA = 90^{\circ} - \angle BAQ = \angle ABQ$, so $\triangle XAQ \sim \triangle ABQ$. As a result, we have $QA^2 = QX \cdot QB = 4 \implies QA = 2$. This means that over all trapezoids ABCD, the angles that AB and CD make with line AD are constant. Thus, the only factor determining the area of trapezoid ABCD is the length of the line segment \overline{BC} . Note that as BC increases in length, the point P moves up closer and closer to C. This means that the maximum possible length of BC comes when $P \equiv C$. In other words, $\angle ACD = 90^{\circ} \implies \angle ABD = 90^{\circ}$. Now by Altitude to Hypotenuse $BQ^2 = AQ \cdot QD$, so QD = 8. Hence the length of base \overline{BC} is 6, and the area of the trapezoid is $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 4 \cdot (10 + 6) = \boxed{32}$.

2. Points A, B, and C lie on a circle Ω such that A and C are diametrically opposite each other. A line ℓ tangent to the incircle of $\triangle ABC$ at T intersects Ω at points X and Y. Suppose that AB=30, BC=40, and XY=48. Compute $TX \cdot TY$.

Proposed by David Altizio

Solution. Denote by O and I the centers of Ω and the incircle respectively, and let M denote the projection of O onto XY. Note that the radius of Ω is $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{30^2+40^2}=25$ and MX=MY=24, we have $OM=\sqrt{25^2-24^2}=7$. Now IT=10, and it is easy to compute $OI=5\sqrt{5}$, so

$$MT^2 = OI^2 - (TI - MO)^2 = 125 - 3^2 = 116.$$

Hence

$$TX \cdot TY = XM^2 - MT^2 = 24^2 - 116 = 460$$
.

3. Triangle ABC satisfies AB = 104, BC = 112, and CA = 120. Let ω and ω_A denote the incircle and A-excircle of $\triangle ABC$, respectively. There exists a unique circle Ω passing through A which is internally tangent to ω and externally tangent to ω_A . Compute the radius of Ω .

Proposed by David Altizio

Solution. Scale down to a 13-14-15 triangle. Let γ denote the circle with center A and radius $\sqrt{s(s-a)}$, where here s is the semiperimeter of $\triangle ABC$. Note that an inversion Φ about γ sends ω to ω_A and vice versa. As a result, Ω is sent under Φ to a line which is tangent to both ω and ω_A ; it's not hard to see that this must be line BC.

Now let Ω intersect AB and AC at X and Y respectively. Note that by the above analysis, Φ sends X to B and Y to C. Thus, by the inversion distance formula,

$$XY = \frac{\left(\sqrt{s(s-a)}\right)^2}{AB \cdot AC} \cdot BC = \frac{sa(s-a)}{bc},$$

from which

$$R_{\Omega} = \frac{sa(s-a)}{2bc\sin A} = \frac{as(s-a)}{4K} = \frac{a(s-a)}{4r}.$$

Computation and remembering to scale back up gives a final answer of $\boxed{49}$

Number Theory Tiebreaker Solutions

1. Let $\tau(n)$ denote the number of positive integer divisors of n. For example, $\tau(4) = 3$. Find the sum of all positive integers n such that $2\tau(n) = n$.

Proposed by Patrick Lin

Solution. Each factor of n comes in a pair of factors where one is at most \sqrt{n} . Thus, $\tau(n) \leq 2\sqrt{n}$ and we have the inequality $n \leq 4\sqrt{n} \Rightarrow n \leq 16$. Checking $n = 1, 2, \dots, 16$ yields n = 8, 12 as the only possible solutions, and so the answer is 8 + 12 = 20.

2. Find the smallest three-digit divisor of the number

$$1 \underbrace{00...0}_{100 \text{ zeroes}} 1 \underbrace{00...0}_{100 \text{ zeroes}} 1.$$

Proposed by Cody Johnson

Solution. Let $N = 10^{202} + 10^{101} + 1$, and let ω be a root of $x^2 + x + 1$. Then since $\omega^n = \omega^{n \mod 3}$, we have

$$\omega^{202} + \omega^{101} + 1 = \omega + \omega^2 + 1 = 0$$
 and $\omega^{2 \cdot 202} + \omega^{2 \cdot 101} + 1 = \omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$,

so $x^2 + x + 1 \mid x^{202} + x^{101} + 1$. As a result, 111 | N. Furthermore, if $100 \le n \le 110$ and $n \mid N$, then $n \notin \{100, 102, \dots, 110\}$ since N is odd, and $n \ne 105$ since $5 \nmid N$. The remaining numbers 101, 103, 107, 109 are all prime, so we can check that they are indeed non-divisors.

Rewriting N as $\frac{10^{303}-1}{10^{101}-1}$, it suffices to show that $10^{303}-1\not\equiv 0\pmod p$ for each of these p. We will use the fact that if $\gcd(a,p)=1$ and $a^k\equiv 1\pmod p$, then $a^{\gcd(k,p-1)}\equiv 1\pmod p$. Since $303=3\cdot 101$, we have $\gcd(303,p-1)\le 3$ for each of these p. Thus, it suffices to show that $10^3\not\equiv 1\pmod p$ for any of these p; but this is already obvious since $10^3-1=3^3\cdot 37$. Thus the requested answer is 111.

3. Say an integer polynomial is *primitive* if the greatest common divisor of its coefficients is 1. For example, $2x^2 + 3x + 6$ is primitive because gcd(2,3,6) = 1. Let $f(x) = a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$ and $g(x) = b_2x^2 + b_1x + b_0$, with $a_i, b_i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ for i = 0, 1, 2. If N is the number of pairs of polynomials (f(x), g(x)) such that h(x) = f(x)g(x) is primitive, find the last three digits of N.

Proposed by Andrew Kwon

Solution. We claim that h(x) = f(x)g(x) is primitive if and only if f(x), g(x) are primitive. We prove both directions via contrapositive. If either f(x) or g(x) is not primitive, then some prime p divides all of its coefficients, and this p will also divide all of the coefficients of h(x). Thus, h(x) primitive $\Rightarrow f(x), g(x)$ primitive.

Now, if h is not primitive, then some prime p divides all of its coefficients. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that some coefficients of f, g are not divisible by p, and say a_i, b_j are those with minimal index. Then, $a_0, \ldots, a_{i-1}, b_0, \ldots b_{j-1}$ are all divisible by p. In h, the coefficient of the x^{i+j} term is divisible by p and consists of terms of the form $a_{i-k}, b_{j-k}, a_{i+k}b_{j-k}$ for appropriately chosen values of k. However, these are all divisible by p except a_i, b_j , and so the coefficient of x^{i+j} is not divisible by p, a contradiction. Therefore, f(x), g(x) are also not primitive, and f(x), g(x) primitive $\Rightarrow h(x)$ primitive.

Now, f and g are determined by their coefficients, so it suffices to determine the number of triples of integers $(a, b, c) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}^3$ satisfying gcd(a, b, c) = 1, as this determines the number of possible choices for f, g.

Noting that gcd(a, b, c) = gcd(gcd(a, b), c), we calculate

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le a,b,c \le 5 \\ \gcd(a,b,c)=1}} 1 = \sum_{1 \le a,b,c \le 5} \sum_{\substack{d \mid \gcd(\gcd(a,b),c)}} \mu(d)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le a,b,c \le 5} \sum_{\substack{d \mid \gcd(a,b) \\ d \mid c}} \mu(d)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le a,b \le 5} \sum_{\substack{d \mid \gcd(a,b) \\ d \mid c}} \mu(d) \left\lfloor \frac{5}{d} \right\rfloor^3.$$

A simple calculation verifies that therefore the number of triples satisfying the desired conditions is 125 - 8 - 1 - 0 - 1 = 115. Therefore, the number of desired pairs of polynomials is $115^2 \equiv \boxed{225}$ (mod 1000).

Remark. The fact that f(x), g(x) primitive $\Leftrightarrow f(x)g(x)$ primitive is known as Gauss' Lemma and has numerous applications. For example, it is used to prove that an integer polynomial which is irreducible over the rationals must be irreducible over the integers as well.